

**5th Avenue Concept Review – Phase 1
Steering Committee Review and Comments – 8-28-2018**

<p>We are asking the Steering Committee to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss the feedback we received last week and provide perspective on the issues outlined below (or others deemed important). 2. Establish consensus as a committee on the key issues that you want Council to consider as they direct Ryan on concept refinement. 		
COMMUNITY COMMENT		
Density		
	Multiple comments about too much density for both concepts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider moving housing (and associated parking) from Burlington lot to Public Works lot • Investigate an option with 200 units (vs. 400) of residential – how does this split up between attainable, condos, rental, etc.
	Massing/shadow studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make shadow studies available to the public to review
Design		
	Multi-use plaza - safety concerns related to pedestrian and commuters sharing a common space	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of the Woonerf will be critical; need to provide additional details about how these details will work to control/manage vehicular traffic • Preference for Plaza B • Consider how to enhance design/wayfinding to invite people into the plaza from around the community (not just when exiting the train) • The plaza and buildings will serve as an additional sound buffer
	Impact of train - impact on plaza & building function given noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maximize the design of the plaza to reduce noise pollution for residents and visitors
	Height - some buildings exceed 4 stories	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate how street edges of Washington buildings are designed – look for ways to prevent “canyon” effect, soften those edges with grass/plantings, consider stepped back heights, create scale and depth transitions

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider reducing height of office building by eliminating stories
	Character - building shape, materials, style, colors, architectural details	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider incorporating details from surrounding buildings (like Kroehler – arched windows) • Don't lose the openness and light that the windows and glass provide • Consider other traditional design details in keeping with existing buildings
Land Use		
	Kroehler parking lot - agreement about rowhomes and greenspace; orientation/layout needs study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep general concept of Kroehler design (rowhomes and greenspace) but look for other ways to configure the layout and programming to ensure that it is welcoming and invites people in from around the community
Parking		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to balance the quantity of parking spaces with the dedicated use of those spaces (commuter or other user) and the visual character of the parking structures. • Council needs to directly address why they developed the parking ratio parameters that were created to guide the concept. • Need additional information on operations and pricing impacts. • Consider additional commuter parking capacity at Burlington; what is the trade-off for this, what are people willing to do or give up.
Children's Museum		
	Should it stay as part of this project?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This is part of a larger and more in-depth conversation between the City and DuPage Children's Museum.
Traffic		
	How are concerns about traffic congestion being addressed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider how new uses in the development will impact traffic (traffic studies to be done in Phase 3) • We will get engineering analysis and traffic data in Phase 2 (comparing design options) that will shed additional light on the traffic impact